

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

March 26, 2025

Frederick Bates

RE: Bates v. City of San Jose, CA, et al.
Exhibits with Flash Drive

Dear Mr. Bates:

In reply to your letter or submission referred to this office by Chief Justice Roberts on March 26, 2025, I regret to inform you that the Court is unable to assist you in the matter you present.

Under Article III of the Constitution, the jurisdiction of this Court extends only to the consideration of cases or controversies properly brought before it from lower courts in accordance with federal law and filed pursuant to the Rules of this Court.

In addition, the Rules of the Court make no provision for the filing of documents or exhibits via electronic media.

Your papers are herewith returned.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk
By:



Lisa Nesbitt
(202) 479-3015

Enclosures

Frederick Bates
1235 Muirkirk Ct.
Folsom, CA 95630
(408) 510-9174

February 6, 2025

The Honorable Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543
Phone: (202) 479-3000


Re: Report on the allegation that San Jose City Officials, the U.S. District Court in San Jose, and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals Obstructed Justice during Litigation of the Case Bates v. City of San Jose et. al. in order to Cover up Discrimination and Racism by the City of San Jose

Dear Chief Justice Roberts:

Enclosed is a report on flash drive documenting my allegation that the City of San Jose, the U.S. District Court in San Jose, and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals obstructed justice during litigation of a lawsuit I filed against the City in August 2006. My lawsuit sought damages from the City for its discriminatory and racist violation of my rights upon my medical disability retirement from the San Jose Police Department as a police sergeant. I am black/African American.

The report presents facts and evidence that San Jose city officials concocted an elaborate scheme to perpetrate fraud on the U.S. District Court in San Jose, and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in a motion for summary judgment in order to cover up its discriminatory and racist conduct. It is clear from the report that judges in the U.S. District Court in San Jose and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals committed impeachable offenses by participating in the City of San Jose's fraudulent scheme based on their conduct and rulings that showed flagrant bias in favor of the City, a willful and wanton disregard for the facts, and contempt for the Constitution and applicable laws. The actions of these judges, who are named in the report, demonstrates a lack of judicial temperament because they failed to uphold the integrity and independence of the judiciary; they failed to avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety, and they failed to perform their duties fairly and impartially. Their abuse of power and corruption in this case undermines the public's confidence in the judiciary, and is a threat to democracy and the rule of law.

In the appendix of my report is a copy of a petition for a writ of certiorari that I filed in the Supreme Court seeking relief from the fraudulent judgment in my lawsuit. My petition was denied on October 2, 2023, despite the fact that the judgment of the lower court fails to follow Supreme Court precedent and is clearly erroneous; it is based on fraud, a violation of the law, and bias. My petition for writ of certiorari is No. 23-53.



Page 2

I respectfully request that the Supreme Court reconsiders its decision to deny my petition for writ of certiorari and grant it. Justice and the rule of law demands that the decision of the lower court be set aside. The Supreme Court should also address the egregious misconduct of the U.S. District Court in San Jose and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals that occurred in this case.

Please take note of my manifesto that accompanies my report. It states my commitment to seeking justice in this matter.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Frederick Bates". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Frederick Bates